

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,778	08/25/2003	Karri Ranta-Aho	944-005.021	4032
.,,,,	7590 02/27/2007 SOLA VAN DER SLUY	EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON	, LLP	TORRES, MARCOS L		
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONROE, CT	06468	2617		
SHOPTENED STATISTOP	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	DAYS	02/27/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/648,778	RANTA-AHO ET AL.	RANTA-AHO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marcos L. Torres .	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS		
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed YTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status			,			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	December 2003 and 25 29	January 2007	•		
2a)□	·	his action is non-final.	dandary 2001.			
3)						
ــــر-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	nn				
1/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn ironi consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
		or creation requirement.				
	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami		•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			ie.		
	application from the International Bure			,-		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	. , , ,	received.			
		•				
Attachmen	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - ١. Claims 1-9 and 28-29, drawn to Handover method, classified in class 370. subclass 331.
 - 11. Claims 10-18, 26 30 and 32-34, drawn to User equipment (mobile station) and apparatus for user equipment, classified in class 370, subclass 313.
 - III. Claims 19-25, 27, 31 and 35-37, drawn to Base station (Node B) and apparatus for base station, classified in class 370, subclass 310.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as permitting communication between a mobile station and a base station. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as a mobile station for providing communication to a user. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as a base station for communicating between a radio access network and mobile stations. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

Art Unit: 2617

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to James Retter #41,266 on 2-20-2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-6:00 PM alt. Wednesday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-252-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 577-272-1000.

Marcos L Torres

Art Unit 2617

mlt